

8-16-09

Note how many thought of threat/ult./dems
to Japan as morally obligated before H&N

BLOG

(Wf not longer Tokyo, etc.?
Were they all unaware of firebombing?

Image of ~~not~~ ^{knowing} ~~knowing~~ of Hiroshima -
and focusing on Nagasaki?

(as they do! "No. Hiroshima")

BLOG

How many have even reflected on
ethics of H&N under the actual state of
circumstances? or, the personal Alphabetic criticism
Anyone?

Anti-war assume, "innocent." But...

forms of ^{go through} channels: Front distortion, Robinson
Squid, Kite

[Hyp: It was always numbers of A-bombs
that "made a difference" minimal size: 500K (25N's)
from fire-bombing; H-bomb 15 1MT 50N's
limited to what you 1000 H's
could do with 300-1000 B-29's

2 countries bad: [one part of our city at a time
(still, only 9 - but what they can do, exp. with it
in one day, what US/UK did to Germany
(How many cities?)

What can forward do?

India, Pak

UK, F (China?)

or US to Japan

with A-Bombs;

with H, 100: 60M? more? (Fric)

20 $\sqrt{10000}$
140
100

Worst didn't happen, from H+N

- Remarks: US "most hated & feared in world"

- Public seeing A-bomb like this:

"convention"

= Use for various reasons, accepted
like H+N really

(Elite/public gap: persistent)

Elite did not require

"causally necessary, necessary";

Public was not have

required that explanation

(what if no ^{Lesson} Convent/MelB

article?) What then?

but they were given it;

they didn't get to test or

motion to FL in real

circumstances

(What do they think they would
do?)

Stories of spinning
Kyoto (at cost of H+N)
'Greatest' choice

Horrible deaths

of fire
radiation

(but also: trapped
(suffocated?))

Hiroshima

but see:

S.B.

Tokyo (for US)

first deliberate,
effortful bombing
for US?

The WB situation: There is compelling, dramatic
case for disclosure, negotiation (much for
refusal/resignation, alternative)
larger decision-making body
possible crime, trial, punishment

not just, in person X vs. Kotto: H&N case is not aberrant,
but typical. (However, an ultimate
case!)

What are
Kotto: 1) - Feelings, empathy, morality, guilt of
those who decide PES (leaders)
and those who obey,
without a problem?

for H&N -
scientific solution

for Tokyo: McN
Collaboration

2) Why so few who quit, refuse?
(Ratback)

3) Why only a minority who have qualms?

4) Why do ALL but this minority be silent (with
over-riders) with so little (NO) protest?

except McN, KG,
MV, Jamm

5) Why NO WB? Resistant, after protest, RISK-TAKER?

(there is not to be a WB for
H&N, H-bomb: WHEN? We've been tested)

X

How plausible was Oppen / Comint "belief"
that use (on crisis - nuclear - by US)
was conceivable

in fact, necessary
to getty ~~provision~~ control of Bomb
- getty "int. willing" to surrender
sovereignty"

How could USC use lead to US willingness
to give up sovereignty
monopolistic
control
(or further use, threat)
to an int. authority?

How could it lead to thought:

"It must not be used again" (yes)
EVEN BY US " ?

Why not?

Was it right the first time,
or not?

If yes - why should we not be
willing to use it again - to deter its use?
to protect Europe?

If some crises justified it,
couldn't there be others that
do - if not, some again?

Were having that necessary? ANYWAY: SU = JAPAN

(to some "vital
interests," i.e.

West - losing (write ^{DSP}
were

Don't supporters of H&M find it unreal how
long justified, say in 1944, vs. Germany?

When, not? Ridge?

April?

(stop SU advance?)

see Maintain to Powers (+ play)
thesis on Heisenberg.

What could Hans have done?

Starting in 1939?

Without S.B.?

Mis-burlings

NOW

Cloud

John

ELK:

Human Bonds

Public

Human Bonds

Series consideration

=

(signature of mis-burling)

=

" " A \rightarrow H

=

" " effects on our
score (SU)

=

" " difference between A + H

" " former interprets

(offer not certain to
big numbers;
but with was A-bank!

" " former terms

(without SU entry,
offer of Expansion)

" " role of SU entry

" " designation in USG

real estimates of
invasion costs
(not critical)

Aug 16-09

David Latta (Wellfleet)

we have no picture of a city hit by an H-bomb
on a "small" scale

films we see - A-bomb blast

The Day After ... (London; US...)

How to convey?

Remember: Slowly on

magnitudes of suffering

Nations with H-bombs:

(all but India? Pak, NK)

Hiro, Nag = Tokyo

city, and victims

we live with the risk/degree of TN war

" " "

temptation to threaten or exterminate

} over there
"punishing"?

Hendri quote on perpetrators

DAO (being introduced here!)

Theme: Other want not get it first. (Possession

A; H; ICBM (MIRV; ABM)

SO: " , Satellite

of our will, intent
and our cap.
(priorities)